

4/3/03
#8/REG
FOR
Recn**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted to Group Art Unit 1756, 703-872-8310, addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231

Date: April 2, 2003

Sonia V. McVean
Sonia V. McVean

PATENT
36856.527

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Yasuhiro NAKATA et al.	Art Unit: 1756
Serial No.: 09/903,792	Examiner: K. Sagar
Filed: July 12, 2001	
Title: CONDUCTOR PATTERN AND ELECTRONIC COMPONENT HAVING THE SAME	

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated February 5, 2003, please reconsider the above-identified application in view of the following remarks.

Claims 1-20 are pending in this application.

Applicants greatly appreciate the Examiner's indication that claims 2-5, 7-10, 12-15 and 17-20 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all the features of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 5, 10 and 11-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, for allegedly being indefinite. Applicants respectfully disagree.

The Examiner alleged that the recitation, in claims 5, 10, 15 and 20, of the conductor pattern being "made of photosensitive paste" is vague and indefinite because the conductor film is metallic but is fabricated from a photosensitive paste, and thus, "the composition of the conductor pattern is ambiguous and unclear". This is clearly

FAX RECEIVED
APR 03 2003
GROUP 1700